**Short Communication** 

# **Sexual Dimorphisms in Body Measurement Traits of Boer Goats in South Africa**

## Victoria Rankotsane Hlokoe, Motsatsi Surprise Hlokoe and Thobela Louis Tyasi\*

Department of Agricultural Economics and Animal Production, University of Limpopo, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga 0727, Limpopo, South Africa

## ABSTRACT

Sexual dimorphism is the consequence of the combined sex-specific genes on the sex chromosomes and sex-specific gene expression in female and male animals. The study was conducted to investigate the influence of sex on body weight (BW) and linear body measurement traits viz. body length (BL), rump height (RH), heart girth (HG), rump width (RW), ear length (EL), cannon circumference (CC), and head width (HW) of Boer goats. A total of 71 yearling Boer goats (14 bucks and 57 does) were used. Pearson correlations and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used for data analysis. The correlation results revealed that BW had a positively and high statistically significant (P < 0.01) relationship with BL (r = 0.62), HG (r = 0.83) and RH (r = 0.56) in bucks. Whereas BW had a positively high statistically significant (P < 0.01) correlation with BL (r = 0.86), HG (r = 0.74), RH (r = 0.69), RW (r = 0.53), CC (r = 0.64), and HW (r = 0.57) in does. Student's T-test indicated that body measurement traits were significantly (P < 0.05) affected by sex, with bucks having a higher mean value for all the traits than does. In conclusion, this study discovered the presence of marked sexual dimorphisms in body measurement traits of Boer goats. Therefore, body measurement traits might be used as the indicators of sexual dimorphisms in Boer goats.

**B**oer goat is a goat breed that was evolved in South Africa in the early 1900s and is a famous breed for meat production (Casey and Niekerk, 1988). Although the precise starting place of Boer goats is not clear, it is believed to be the result of a genetic pooling of African indigenous goats, Indian goats, Angora goats, and with some influence from European dairy goats (Lu, 2001). According to Mathapo and Tyasi (2021) Boer goats are the most notable because of their desirable genetic traits for body conformation, good carcass quality, and fast growth rate. They have successfully improved the productive performance of indigenous goat breeds through crossbreeding. According to Mathapo and Tyasi (2021), the body weight of animals is vital because it helps farmers manage their animals by selecting bucks and does for breeding, altering feed supply and dosage.

\* Corresponding author: louis.tyasi@ul.ac.za 0030-9923/2024/0001-0001 \$ 9.00/0



Copyright 2024 by the authors. Licensee Zoological Society of Pakistan.



Article Information Received 17 March 2022 Revised 20 April 2022 Accepted 06 June 2022 Available online 24 April 2024 (early access)

Authors' Contribution TLT designed the study and revised the manuscript. VRH and MSR collected data, analysed it and wrote the manuscript. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Key words

Body weight, Bucks, Correlation, Does, Sex

The study conducted by Eyduran et al. (2017) showed sex as an important variable that played a significant role on body weight in indigenous beetal goats of Pakistan. Mathapo and Tyasi (2021) conducted a study on the estimation of body weight of yearling Boer goats from body measurement traits with classification and regression tree analysis, and the study revealed that sex is the most explanatory variable with a significant effect on body weight. Assan (2013) conducted a study on the effects of sex on carcass yield in Zimbabwean indigenous goats, and the study demonstrated marked influence of sex on total edible and the saleable proportion of carcass components and the proportion of non-carcass components in goats kept on the range. Other previous studies explained the significant role played by body measurement traits for the prediction of body weight in goats (Norris et al., 2015; Mathapo et al., 2022), sheep (Karabacak et al., 2017; Abbas et al., 2021), ducks (Yakubu, 2011; Yakubu et al., 2015) and chickens (Tyasi et al., 2017; Hlokoe and Tyasi, 2021). However, based on our knowledge there are few studies on sexual dimorphism in body measurement traits of Boer goats. Hence, the objectives of the current study were to determine (1) evaluate the influence of sex on body weight and body measurement traits, viz. heart girth, body length, rump height, rump width, ear length, cannon circumference, and head width of Boer goats raised

This article is an open access 3 article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

in South Africa and (2) the relationship between body weight and the body measurement traits viz. body length, heart girth, rump height, rump width, ear length, cannon circumference, and head width of Boer goats raised in South Africa.

#### Materials and methods

The study was carried out at Farm Tivolie, Blouberg Local Municipality. The farm is located at Capricorn District, in the small town called Alldays, in Limpopo South Africa. The district has a semi-arid climate, characterized by wet and hot summer and cool and dry winter seasons. The hottest month is January with an average temperature of 23°C and June is the coldest month at 13°C (Mpandeli et al., 2019). The mean yearly precipitation ranges from 300 mm within the northern half of the district to 1 000 mm within the southern half.

The current study used 71 yearling Boer goats (14 bucks and 57 does) aged between one and two years old. All Boer goats were permitted to graze openly within the camps and come back to the kraal in the evening, where they were provided with water and grass hay. The kraal was having a protective shelter against harsh conditions. Dipping was additionally practiced regularly to control ectoparasites such as scab, ticks, lice, and blowfly. Dipping and dozing were additionally practised regularly to control internal and external parasites.

The body measurement traits of the Boer goats were measured with the aid of a wooden ruler and measuring tape calibrated in centimetres (cm). Boer goats' body weight (BW) was measured using a weighing scale calibrated in kilograms (kg) that weighs up to 300 kg with an accuracy of 100 g. All the measurements were taken according to Lukuyu et al. (2016). Eight linear body traits were measured in this study: body length (BL) = distance from the occipital protuberance to the base of the tail, heart girth (HG) = circumference of the chest, rump height (RH) = space from the ground to the rump, rump width (RW) = distance between the two tuber coxae, ear length (EL) = distance from the point of attachment to the ear tip, cannon circumference (CC) = the smallest circumference of the foreleg, head width (HW) = the distance between the edges of the head. Only one person was appointed to take the measurements to avoid errors.

Statistical package for Social Sciences version 27.0 (IBM SPSS, 2020) was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics were computed for all the traits. Pearson correlation was used to examine the association among BW and biometric traits with the probability of 5 % significant differences and 1 % highly significant differences. Student's T-Test was used to determine the influence of sex on body measurement traits. Significance

was observed on 5% probability level. This was done separately for the two sexes.

The following model was used for determining sex effect:

 $Y_{ij} = u + t_i + e_{ij}$ Where  $Y_{ij}$  is the observation on i<sup>th</sup> sex (i = buck, doe); u is overall mean; t<sub>i</sub> is the fixed effect of i<sup>th</sup> treatment (sex) and e<sub>ii</sub> is the residual error.

#### Results

The summary of the mean, minimum and maximum values of the body measurement traits (BW, BL, RH, HG, RW, EL, CC and HW) for 14 buck and 57 doe Boer goats are presented in Table I. The results indicated that BW was significantly (P < 0.05) affected by sex, with buck Boer goats having the highest mean value while doe Boer goats had the lowest mean value. The results further showed that BL, RH, RW, EL, and HW were significantly (P < 0.05) affected by the sex, with buck Boer goats having higher mean numerical values when compared to doe Boer goats. All the body measurement traits affected by sex favoured buck Boer goats when compared to doe Boer goats.

Table I. Summary of examined body measurement traits of buck and doe Boer goats.

Traits	Bucks Mean (Range)	Does Mean (Range)			
BW (Kg)	100.79 <sup>a</sup> (82.1-132.2)	55.18 <sup>b</sup> (29.4-105.6)			
BL (cm)	96.86 <sup>a</sup> (85-111)	79.13 <sup>b</sup> (60-111)			
HG (cm)	103.64ª (86-117)	86.71 <sup>b</sup> (7-121)			
RH (cm)	76.93°(69-87)	64.54 <sup>b</sup> (52-89)			
RW (cm)	26.21 <sup>a</sup> (23-30)	19.57 <sup>b</sup> (14-34)			
EL (cm)	37.36 <sup>a</sup> (20-225)	21.79 <sup>b</sup> (17-29)			
CC (cm)	15.00 <sup>a</sup> (13-18)	11. 09 <sup>b</sup> (9-15)			
HW (cm)	18.50 <sup>a</sup> (15-23)	14.79 <sup>b</sup> (12-20)			

a together with b means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). BW, body weight; BL, body length; HG, heart girth; RH, rump height; RW, rump width; EL, ear length; CC, cannon circumference; HW, head width.

The correlation results for buck and doe Boer goats with does above diagonal and bucks below diagonal are obtainable in Table II. The outcomes displayed that BW had a positively high remarkable (P < 0.01) correlation with BL, HG and RH, a positive statistically significant association (P < 0.05) with RW, CC, and HW, and a negative remarkable correlation (P < 0.05) with EL in bucks. The results further showed that BW had a positively high remarkable association (P < 0.01) with BL, HG, RH, RW, CC, and HW, and a positive statistically significant correlation (P < 0.05) with EL in does.

Traits	BW	BL	HG	RH	RW	EL	CC	HW
BW		0.86**	0.74**	0.69**	0.53**	0.49*	0.64**	0.57**
BL	0.62**		0.66**	0.41*	0.65**	0.61**	0.69**	0.38*
HG	0.83**	0.62**		0.46*	0.50*	0.49*	0.57**	0.43*
RH	0.56**	0.52**	0.48*		0.36*	0.30*	0.42*	0.51**
RW	0.31*	0.51**	0.49*	0.39*		0.53**	0.64**	0.20ns
EL	-0.25*	0.17 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.16 <sup>ns</sup>	0.08 <sup>ns</sup>	0.04 <sup>ns</sup>		0.56**	0.05ns
CC	0.36*	0.71**	0.47*	0.41*	0.44*	0.50*		0.11ns
HW	0.51*	0.63**	0.39*	0.61**	0.47*	0.06 <sup>ns</sup>	0.28*	

Table II. Phenotypic correlations among BW and body measurements traits of Boer goats with does above diagonal and bucks below diagonal.

\*Significant (p < 0.05), \*\*Significant (p < 0.01), and ns, not significant. For abbreviations see Table I.

#### Discussion

Body measurement traits have a significant role in predicting BW where the precision can be up to 90% of the actual BW (Kusminanto et al., 2020). The study used Pearson's correlation to determine the association among the BW and the body measurement traits of Boer goats. The correlation results revealed that BW had a positively high statistically significant relationship with BL, HG, RH, a positive statistically significant association with RW, CC, and HW; and a negative statistically significant correlation with EL in bucks. Whereas BW had a positively high statistically significant correlation with BL, HG, RH, RW, CC, and HW; and a positive statistically significant correlation with EL in does. The findings of Norris et al. (2015) in South African indigenous goats and Olawumi and Farinnako (2017) in West African Dwarf goats revealed similar results. The correlation results suggested that improvement of the body measurement traits might improve BW in bucks and does. Maiwashe et al. (2002) indicated that when traits are positively correlated, it is means that those traits are controlled by the same gene. The study further determined the sex influence on BW and the linear body measurement traits. The results showed that body weight and linear body measurement traits were significantly affected by sex. Body weight and all the linear body measurement traits affected by sex favoured buck Boer goats compared to doe Boer goats. The findings are similar to those of Eyduran et al. (2017) in indigenous beetal goat of Pakistan and of Tesfay et al. (2017) in Northern Ethiopian indigenous sheep. Furthermore, the finding in this study is consistent with the report of Ologbose et al. (2017) on rabbits regarding sex affecting the body measurement traits. BW and linear body measurement traits might be used as the indicators of sexual dimorphisms in Boer goats. Doe Boer goats require a genetic improvement in BW and body measurement

traits. Higher BW and body measurement traits in bucks may be due to physiological factors (testosterone secretions) (Turnk *et al.*, 2021). More studies can be conducted on Boer goats with more body measurements and sample sizes.

### Conclusions

It is evident that there is a remarkable association between body weight and the body measurement traits of Boer goats regardless of the type of sex. The improvement of the body measurement traits can enhance the body weight of Boer goats. Sex influenced body weight and all the body measurement traits with bucks in favour of sexual dimorphisms. Body weight and body measurement traits might be sexual dimorphism indicators in Boer goats. There is a need for farmers to improve the body weight and body measurement traits of doe Boer goats for high meat yields.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to give thanks to the Boer goat stud breeder, Mr Pieter Smith, for allowing us to use his animals for data collection during the study.

## Statement of conflict of interest

The authors have shown no conflict of interest.

## References

- Abbas, A., Ullah, M.A. and Waheed, A., 2021. *Vet. World.* 14: 2332-2338. http://www.doi. org/10.14202/vetworld.2021.2332-2338
- Assan, N., 2013. J. Anim. Sci., 2: 115-120.
- Casey, N.H., and Van Niekerk, W.A., 1988. *Small Rumin. Res.*, **1**: 291-302. https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4488(88)90056-9
- Eyduran, E., Zaborski D., Waheed A., Celik S., Karadas

## V.R. Hlokoe et al.

K. and Grzesiak, W., 2017. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **49**: 257-265. https://doi.org/10.17582/journal. pjz/2017.49.1.257.265

- Hlokoe, V.R. and Tyasi, T.L., 2021. Sylwan, 165: 130-145.
- Karabacak, A., Celik, S., Tatliyer, A., Keskin, I., Erturk, Y.E., Eyduran, E., Javed, Y. and Tariq, M.M., 2017. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **49**: 1731–1738. https://doi. org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.5.1731.1738
- Kusminanto, R.Y., Alawiansyah, A., Pramono, A. and Cahyadi, M., 2020. *IOP Conf. Ser. J. Earth Environ. Sci.*, **478**: 155-167. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/478/1/012039
- Lu, C.D., 2001. Boer goat production: Progress and perspective. Hilo, Hawai'i 96720, USA.
- Lukuyu, M.N., Gibson, J.P., Savage, D.B., Duncan, A.J., Mujibi, F.D.N., and Okeyo, A.M., 2016. *Springerplus*, **5**: 63. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40064-016-1698-3
- Maiwashe, N., Bradfield, M.J., Theron, H.E., and Van Wyk, J.B., 2002. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, **75**: 293-300. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(01)00324-4
- Mathapo, M.C. and Tyasi, T.L., 2021. Am. J. Anim. Vet. Sci., 16: 130-135. https://doi.org/10.3844/ ajavsp.2021.130.135
- Mathapo, M.C., Mugwabana, T.J. and Tyasi, T.L., 2022. *Trop. Anim. Hlth. Prod.*, **54**: 102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-022-03096-9
- Mpandeli, S., Nhamo, L., Moeletsi, M., Masupha, T., Magidi, J., Tshikolomo, K., Liphadzi, S., Naidoo,

D. and Mabhaudhi T., 2019. *Weather Clim. Extrem.*, **26**: 1002402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. wace.2019.100240

- Norris, D., Brown, D., Moela, A.K., Selolo, T.C., Mabelebele, M., Ngambi, J.W. and Tyasi, T.L., 2015. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, 49: 573–578.
- Norris, D., Brown, D., Moela, A.K., Selolo, T.C., Mabelebele, M., Ngambi, J.W. and Tyasi, T.L. 2015. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, **49**: 573–578.
- Olawumi, S. and Farinnako, D., 2017. *Sci. Int.*, **5**: 63-67. https://doi.org/10.17311/sciintl.2017.63.67
- Ologbose, F.I., Ajayi, F.O. and Agaviezor, B., 2017. J. *Fish. Livest. Prod.*, **5**: 250-264.
- Tesfay, H.H., Banerjee, A.K. and Mummed, Y.Y., 2017. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 12: 1087-1095. https://doi. org/10.5897/AJAR2016.11927
- Tırınk, C., Eyduran, E., Faraz, A., Waheed, A., Tauqir, N.A., Nabeel, M.S., Tariq, M.M. and Sheikh, I.S., 2021. *Trop. Anim. Hlth. Prod.*, **53**: 339. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11250-021-02788-y
- Tyasi, T.L., Qin, N., Jing, Y., Mu, F., Zhu, H., Liu, D., Yuan, S. and Xu, R., 2017. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, 51: 588–593.
- Yakubu, A., 2011. Arch. Zootec., 60: 1115–1123. https:// doi.org/10.4321/S0004-05922011000400027
- Yakubu, A., Muhammed, M.M., Ari, M.M., Musa-Azara, I.S. and Omeje, J.N., 2015. Bangladesh J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 44: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3329/ bjas.v44i1.23112